## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of America	
v. )	Case No: 3:93CR00039-003
JAMIE LAMONI BUCHANAN	USM No: 10233-058
Date of Previous Judgment: 3/3/1994	Chiege O. Kalu Okwara Defendant's Attorney
Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)	
Upon motion of ■ the defendant □ the Director of § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment impossubsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United § 994(u), and having considered such motion,	sed based on a guideline sentencing range that has
-	eviously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in months is reduced to
Criminal History Category: VI	(Prior to Any Departures)  Amended Offense Level:  Criminal History Category:  Amended Guideline Range:  262 to 327 months
<ul> <li>II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE</li> <li>The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.</li> <li>The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.</li> <li>Other (explain): No reduction recommended as there is no change in the guideline calculations due to the defendant's status as a Career Offender.</li> </ul>	
III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS  *The defendant is also serving a consecutive sentence of 6  § 924(c) and another consecutive sentence of 60 months in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 3147.	$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$
Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated IT IS SO ORDERED.	shall remain in effect.
Order Date: August 12, 2009	Graham C. Mullen
Effective Date:	Graham C. Mullen United States District Judge